Agriculture Reviews
An International Journal

OPEN ACCESS

ISSN 3050-7863
Review Article

Quorum Sensing and Microbial Virulence Regulatory Networks Controlling Pathogen Adaptation and Disease Progression

Nalini Salvadi*[1] and Aurosha Fayaz[2]

[1]*Department of Microbiology, Osmania University, Hyderabad, India*
[2]*Department of Botany, Maulana Azad National Urdu University, Hyderabad, India*

Corresponding author: **Nalini Salvadi** | E-mail: **Salvadi.nalini@gmail.com**

Citation: Nalini Salvadi and Aurosha Fayaz (2024). Quorum Sensing and Microbial Virulence Regulatory Networks Controlling Pathogen Adaptation and Disease Progression. *Agriculture Reviews: An International Journal.* **DOI: https://doi.org/10.51470/AR.2024.3.1.09**

Received 14 January 2024 | Revised 11 February 2024 | Accepted 14 March 2024 | Available Online 12 April 2024

Abstract

Quorum sensing (QS) is a sophisticated form of microbial communication that enables bacterial populations to coordinate gene expression according to cell density and environmental conditions. Through the production, release, detection, and integration of chemical signaling molecules known as autoinducers, microorganisms regulate collective behaviors including biofilm formation, motility, secretion of toxins and enzymes, antimicrobial resistance, metabolic adaptation, immune evasion, and virulence. Importantly, QS does not function as an isolated regulatory pathway. It is integrated with two-component systems, small regulatory RNAs, stress-response pathways, metabolic networks, transcriptional regulators, secretion systems, and host-derived signals. This interconnected architecture allows pathogens to dynamically modify their phenotype during colonization, tissue invasion, persistence, and dissemination. Gram-negative pathogens predominantly employ N-acyl homoserine lactones, whereas Gram-positive organisms commonly use autoinducing peptides; the LuxS/autoinducer-2 system provides an important mechanism for interspecies communication. Pseudomonas aeruginosa exemplifies the complexity of QS-mediated virulence, with Las, Rhl, and Pseudomonas quinolone signal networks coordinating hundreds of genes associated with pathogenicity and persistence. QS signaling also contributes to polymicrobial interactions, allowing pathogens to sense signals produced by neighboring microorganisms and adapt to microbial communities. Increasing evidence indicates that QS contributes to antimicrobial tolerance and resistance indirectly through biofilm maturation, physiological heterogeneity, stress adaptation, and regulation of efflux systems. Consequently, quorum-quenching enzymes, signal antagonists, receptor inhibitors, signal-degrading compounds, and combination approaches have emerged as promising antivirulence strategies.

Keywords: *quorum sensing, microbial communication, virulence regulation, autoinducers, biofilm, pathogen adaptation, antimicrobial resistance.*

1. Introduction

Pathogenic microorganisms are not static biological entities. During infection, they continuously encounter changes in nutrient availability, temperature, pH, oxygen tension, osmolarity, host immune pressure, antimicrobial exposure, and microbial community composition. Successful pathogens therefore require regulatory systems capable of rapidly sensing environmental changes and coordinating physiological responses at both the individual-cell and population levels. Quorum sensing represents one of the most important mechanisms underlying such coordinated behavior. Rather than functioning solely as a mechanism for estimating cell density, QS is increasingly understood as an integrated regulatory strategy through which microorganisms interpret chemical information from neighboring cells, host tissues, and the surrounding environment.

The fundamental principle of QS involves the production of signaling molecules, their accumulation in the extracellular environment, and subsequent detection when a concentration threshold is reached. Signal recognition modifies transcriptional or post-transcriptional regulatory pathways and thereby alters bacterial behavior [1]. Depending on the organism and signaling system, QS can regulate virulence factors, extracellular enzymes, motility, adhesion, competence, sporulation, secretion systems, biofilm formation, metabolism, and stress responses. Classical QS systems include N-acyl homoserine lactones (AHLs) in many Gram-negative bacteria, autoinducing peptides (AIPs) in Gram-positive bacteria, and the LuxS/autoinducer-2 (AI-2) system, which can participate in communication across diverse bacterial species.

The biological importance of QS becomes particularly apparent during infection.

Individual bacterial cells may produce energetically costly virulence factors without obtaining a substantial population-level benefit when their numbers are low. Coordinated activation at an appropriate population density can make these activities considerably more effective. QS can therefore synchronize the production of toxins, proteases, siderophores, secretion systems, extracellular polymeric substances, and other pathogenicity determinants. In biofilms, QS contributes to collective organization and physiological differentiation, creating microbial communities with enhanced persistence and altered susceptibility to antimicrobial treatment [2]. Recent research has emphasized that biofilm-associated infections involve profound physiological changes compared with planktonic growth and that QS can regulate both virulence and biofilm-associated phenotypes. QS should nevertheless not be considered a simple linear pathway in which signal accumulation automatically produces virulence. In pathogenic bacteria, QS is embedded within multilayered regulatory networks. Environmental sensing systems, two-component regulatory systems, transcriptional regulators, small RNAs, global metabolic regulators, stress-response pathways, and host-derived molecules can modify QS activity [3]. Conversely, QS can influence the expression of regulators that control adaptation, metabolism, secretion, and resistance. This network architecture enables pathogens to switch between acute and chronic infection phenotypes, alter their metabolic state, respond to host immunity, and exploit polymicrobial environments.

A particularly informative model is *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, an opportunistic pathogen responsible for diverse infections, including chronic respiratory, wound, bloodstream, urinary, and ocular infections. Its QS network consists principally of the LasI/LasR, RhlI/RhlR, and Pseudomonas quinolone signal (PQS) systems, which interact with additional regulatory pathways and collectively influence hundreds of genes [4]. Recent analyses emphasize the integration of QS with biofilm formation, secretion systems, efflux mechanisms, host interactions, and antimicrobial resistance.

2. Molecular Architecture of Quorum Sensing

QS systems generally consist of three functional components: a signaling molecule, a mechanism for signal detection, and a regulatory output. The signal is synthesized by a dedicated enzyme or biosynthetic pathway, released into the surrounding environment, and subsequently detected by the producing organism or neighboring cells. Signal recognition initiates a regulatory cascade that modifies gene expression [5]. The precise architecture differs considerably among bacterial species. Gram-negative bacteria frequently employ AHLs, which diffuse across membranes and interact with cytoplasmic transcriptional regulators of the LuxR family. At sufficiently high concentrations, the signal–receptor complex binds regulatory DNA sequences and alters transcription. Gram-positive bacteria generally use AIPs, which are synthesized as precursor peptides, processed, exported, and detected by membrane-associated sensor systems, commonly involving two-component regulatory pathways. AI-2 provides a distinct form of communication because it can participate in signaling between different bacterial species [6]. The signal is associated with the LuxS pathway and can be detected by different receptor systems, including LuxPQ in *Vibrio* species and the Lsr system in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella*. AI-2-mediated signaling has been linked to biofilm formation, motility, virulence and metabolic regulation, although its precise biological function varies between species and environmental contexts.

Table 1. Major quorum-sensing systems and their biological characteristics

QS system	Principal signaling molecule	Representative organisms	Major regulatory outcomes
AHL-based QS	N-acyl homoserine lactones	*P. aeruginosa*, *Vibrio* spp., *Burkholderia* spp.	Virulence, biofilm formation, motility, secretion, metabolism
AIP-based QS	Autoinducing peptides	*Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus* spp., *Enterococcus* spp.	Virulence, competence, adhesion, toxin production
LuxS/AI-2	Autoinducer-2 derivatives	*E. coli*, *Salmonella*, *Vibrio* spp., diverse gut bacteria	Interspecies communication, biofilm formation, motility, virulence
PQS	Alkyl-quinolone signal	*P. aeruginosa*	Pyocyanin, iron acquisition, virulence, biofilm development
DSF-family signaling	Diffusible signal factor-related fatty acids	*Burkholderia*, *Xanthomonas* and others	Motility, biofilm formation, virulence, environmental adaptation

3. Quorum Sensing as a Virulence Regulatory Platform

Virulence is a multifactorial phenotype involving adhesion, colonization, invasion, nutrient acquisition, immune modulation, tissue damage, and persistence. QS allows bacteria to coordinate these activities rather than expressing individual virulence determinants independently. In several pathogens, QS regulates extracellular proteases and toxins that contribute directly to host tissue damage. It can also control siderophore production and other nutrient-acquisition mechanisms required for survival under iron limitation and other nutritional stresses imposed by the host [7].

QS-dependent regulation of motility and surface attachment facilitates transitions between environmental dissemination and host colonization. The importance of coordinated regulation is especially evident when virulence factors are metabolically expensive. Extracellular enzymes, toxins, secretion systems, and extracellular matrix components require substantial cellular resources. QS allows populations to regulate these investments according to population density and environmental suitability. The resulting population-level phenotype can increase the efficiency of tissue colonization and immune evasion. QS also contributes to the temporal organization of infection [8].

During early colonization, pathogens may prioritize motility, attachment, nutrient acquisition, and environmental sensing. Once an established population or biofilm develops, regulatory priorities may shift toward extracellular matrix production, toxin secretion, stress resistance, and persistence. Consequently, QS can contribute to phenotypic transitions that correspond to different stages of disease.

4. Integration of Quorum Sensing with Regulatory Networks

QS-mediated regulation is most accurately understood as a network rather than a single pathway. Signals generated through QS are integrated with intracellular and extracellular information. This integration allows bacteria to distinguish population density from other environmental conditions and prevents inappropriate activation of energetically costly phenotypes. Two-component systems are particularly important components of this regulatory architecture. These systems generally consist of a sensor histidine kinase and a response regulator [9]. Environmental signals activate the sensor, which phosphorylates the response regulator, thereby modifying transcriptional programs. In pathogens such as *P. aeruginosa*, QS intersects with regulatory systems controlling acute and chronic infection phenotypes. The GacS/GacA pathway and associated small regulatory RNAs provide an important example of how QS can be integrated with broader post-transcriptional regulatory networks.

Small regulatory RNAs provide another layer of control. By influencing mRNA stability and translation, these molecules can rapidly modify bacterial physiology without requiring extensive transcriptional reprogramming. Their interaction with QS pathways permits pathogens to respond rapidly to environmental changes and coordinate virulence with metabolic state. Global transcriptional regulators also influence QS [10]. Carbon availability, nitrogen status, iron limitation, oxidative stress, envelope stress, and host-derived metabolites can alter the expression or activity of QS pathways. This explains why the same QS signal may produce different phenotypic outcomes under different environmental conditions.

Table 2. Integration of QS with major bacterial regulatory processes

Regulatory component	Interaction with QS	Consequence for pathogenesis
Two-component systems	Environmental signals modify QS-associated transcription	Rapid adaptation to host conditions
Small regulatory RNAs	Post-transcriptional control of QS and virulence genes	Phenotypic switching and persistence
Global metabolic regulators	Nutrient status influences QS activity	Coordination of metabolism and virulence
Stress-response pathways	Oxidative, envelope and osmotic stress modify QS responses	Survival under host stress
Iron regulation	Iron availability influences signal production and virulence pathways	Nutrient acquisition and persistence
Secretion systems	QS coordinates secretion-associated genes	Enhanced host interaction and tissue damage
Efflux systems	QS can regulate or interact with efflux pathways	Antimicrobial tolerance and environmental adaptation

5. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* as a Model of Hierarchical Quorum Sensing

P. aeruginosa provides one of the clearest examples of a pathogen in which multiple QS circuits form an interconnected regulatory hierarchy. The LasI/LasR system produces and detects the AHL 3-oxo-C12-HSL. The RhlI/RhlR system utilizes another AHL signal, C4-HSL. A third major component is the PQS system, involving quinolone signals and the transcriptional regulator PqsR [11]. These pathways interact with each other rather than operating independently. Their combined activity regulates numerous virulence-associated phenotypes, including extracellular protease production, pyocyanin biosynthesis, rhamnolipid production, biofilm development, motility, iron acquisition, and secretion-associated functions. Recent reviews describe the *P. aeruginosa* QS network as a highly interconnected system capable of regulating hundreds of genes. The complexity of this network is particularly important in chronic infection. QS-defective variants may arise during long-term colonization, and their phenotypes can differ substantially from those of highly QS-active populations [12]. This demonstrates that QS activity is not universally beneficial under all conditions. Instead, pathogens can undergo regulatory and evolutionary transitions in which different QS states provide advantages in particular ecological niches.

This regulatory flexibility also illustrates why QS-targeted therapy cannot simply be designed around complete elimination of signaling. Selective inhibition of one circuit may result in compensatory activation of another regulatory pathway. Recent work emphasizes that QS networks can interact with both internal bacterial regulatory systems and external signals derived from the host and microbiome.

6. Quorum Sensing and Biofilm Formation

Biofilms are structured microbial communities embedded within extracellular polymeric substances. Their formation represents one of the most important mechanisms by which pathogens establish persistent infections. Biofilm-associated cells display altered metabolic activity, physiological heterogeneity, enhanced stress tolerance, and reduced susceptibility to antimicrobial treatment [13]/. QS contributes to several stages of biofilm development, including initial surface attachment, maturation, matrix production, cellular organization, dispersal, and interaction with neighboring microorganisms. In *P. aeruginosa*, QS-regulated molecules influence extracellular matrix-associated processes and contribute to the establishment of mature biofilm communities. The relationship between QS and biofilms is bidirectional. High cell density can promote QS activation, while the biofilm structure can concentrate signaling molecules and create microenvironments that facilitate localized communication. Gradients of oxygen, nutrients, pH, and metabolic products within biofilms can further generate spatially distinct QS states.

Importantly, biofilm-mediated antimicrobial tolerance cannot be attributed to QS alone.

Physical diffusion barriers, slow growth, metabolic heterogeneity, persister cells, stress responses, extracellular polymeric substances, and altered gene expression all contribute. QS nevertheless represents one component of this broader adaptive network [14]. Current research on antimicrobial resistance emphasizes the physiological differences between planktonic and biofilm-associated bacteria and the role of QS in coordinating collective behavior.

7. QS and Antimicrobial Resistance

The relationship between QS and antimicrobial resistance is complex. QS does not necessarily generate genetic antibiotic resistance directly; instead, it can promote conditions that facilitate survival during antimicrobial exposure. Biofilm formation, efflux-pump regulation, metabolic adaptation, stress tolerance, and cellular heterogeneity can all contribute to reduced antimicrobial susceptibility [15]. QS-regulated biofilms can therefore create an environment in which antimicrobial agents have diminished effectiveness. In *P. aeruginosa*, for example, QS is linked to biofilm formation and efflux-associated processes. Recent work has highlighted the interaction between QS, efflux mechanisms, virulence, and antimicrobial resistance. The ecological environment may further influence this relationship. Mixed microbial communities expose bacteria to antibiotics, metabolites, signaling molecules, and competitive pressures simultaneously. QS-mediated adaptation can therefore provide an indirect survival advantage by enabling bacteria to modify their behavior in response to community-level environmental changes [16]. This distinction between resistance and tolerance is important. Genetic resistance generally involves heritable mechanisms that increase the minimum inhibitory concentration of an antimicrobial, whereas tolerance allows bacterial populations to survive exposure without necessarily increasing the conventional resistance phenotype. QS-associated biofilms may contribute substantially to the latter phenomenon.

8. Interspecies Communication and Polymicrobial Infection

Pathogens rarely exist in isolation within natural environments or human tissues. Infection sites often contain complex microbial communities consisting of pathogens, commensals, opportunists, and host-associated microorganisms. QS enables bacteria to detect and respond to signals generated by neighboring species. AI-2 is particularly important in this context because it can participate in interspecies communication. Diverse bacteria can produce or respond to AI-2, and its effects can include regulation of motility, biofilm formation, virulence, and community organization [18]. Pathogens may also engage in "eavesdropping," whereby they detect signaling molecules produced by other microorganisms without necessarily producing the same signals themselves. Such interactions can provide information about microbial density and community composition.

In polymicrobial infections, signals such as AI-2, AHL-related compounds, DSF-family molecules, and quinolone-associated signals can alter the behavior of neighboring species. This communication can produce either synergistic or antagonistic outcomes. A commensal organism may suppress pathogen colonization by producing inhibitory signals, whereas another microbial species may facilitate pathogen persistence by promoting mixed-species biofilm formation. The gut provides a particularly complex example because QS signaling occurs alongside nutrient competition, metabolite exchange, host immune responses, and epithelial signaling.

9. Host–Pathogen Interactions and Inter-Kingdom Signaling

QS is not restricted to communication between bacteria. Bacterial signals can interact with host cells, while host-derived molecules can influence bacterial signaling. This creates a regulatory interface between microbial physiology and host biology. Host tissues provide numerous environmental cues, including hormones, neurotransmitter-related molecules, iron-binding proteins, reactive oxygen species, fatty acids, bile components, and metabolites. Some of these compounds can alter bacterial growth or QS pathways. Conversely, bacterial signaling molecules and QS-regulated products can influence epithelial cells, immune cells, and inflammatory pathways [18]. The gastrointestinal tract is an especially important environment for such interactions. Microbial signaling contributes to community organization, while host stress and environmental changes can reshape microbial behavior. AI-2 signaling, for example, participates in interactions among diverse gut bacteria and may influence pathogen colonization indirectly through changes in microbial community structure. These observations have shifted the conceptual framework from a pathogen-centered model toward an ecological model of infection. Disease progression may depend not simply on the presence of a pathogen but on the signaling state of the pathogen, the surrounding microbiota, and the host environment.

10. Quorum Sensing and Pathogen Adaptation

Adaptation during infection requires pathogens to continuously balance growth, virulence, resource acquisition, and survival. QS provides population-level information that complements direct environmental sensing.

One major adaptive advantage is the ability to coordinate costly functions. Production of extracellular enzymes, siderophores, toxins, and matrix components becomes more advantageous when sufficient numbers of cells are present to benefit collectively. QS therefore provides a mechanism for synchronizing resource investment. Another adaptive mechanism is phenotypic heterogeneity. Not every cell within a microbial population necessarily expresses QS-regulated genes at identical levels. Such heterogeneity can generate subpopulations with different metabolic and stress-response characteristics.

This may allow a population to simultaneously maintain actively growing cells and more tolerant cells capable of surviving unfavorable conditions [19]. QS can also facilitate transitions between acute and chronic infection strategies. Acute infections may favor motility and aggressive virulence, whereas chronic infections often favor biofilm development, metabolic adaptation, immune evasion, and persistence. Regulatory networks integrating QS, two-component systems, small RNAs, and metabolic signals allow pathogens to transition between these states.

11. Quorum Sensing and Virulence in Selected Pathogens

11.1 *Staphylococcus aureus*

In *S. aureus*, the accessory gene regulator (agr) system is a well-characterized AIP-mediated QS pathway. Agr signaling coordinates the expression of numerous virulence determinants and contributes to the transition between colonization-associated and invasive phenotypes. The system illustrates the characteristic architecture of Gram-positive QS in which extracellular peptides are detected by membrane-associated signaling machinery.

11.2 *Vibrio cholerae*

In *Vibrio cholerae*, QS participates in the regulation of virulence in relation to bacterial population density and intestinal environmental conditions. The pathogen integrates multiple signals, including AI-2 and species-associated autoinducers, into regulatory cascades controlling virulence and colonization. Importantly, commensal organisms can influence *V. cholerae* behavior through microbial signaling, demonstrating that pathogen virulence is partly determined by the surrounding microbiome.

11.3 Enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*

In enterohemorrhagic and enteropathogenic *E. coli*, LuxS/AI-2-associated signaling interacts with virulence-associated regulatory networks, including genes involved in the locus of enterocyte effacement and type III secretion. AI-2-associated regulation has also been linked with motility, adhesion, and toxin-associated phenotypes.

11.4 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

As described above, *P. aeruginosa* possesses a particularly sophisticated QS architecture. The Las, Rhl, and PQS systems interact with metabolic and stress-response pathways to regulate virulence, biofilms, secretion, motility, iron acquisition, and persistence. This makes the organism a major model for studying QS-targeted antivirulence interventions.

12. Quorum Quenching as an Antivirulence Strategy

The importance of QS in pathogenicity has stimulated interest in quorum quenching (QQ), broadly defined as disruption of microbial communication. Unlike conventional antibiotics, which primarily target bacterial growth or survival, QS inhibitors aim to suppress coordinated virulence while imposing potentially different selective pressures.

Several strategies have been explored. Signal degradation involves enzymes capable of hydrolyzing or modifying autoinducers. Signal antagonists compete with native signaling molecules for receptor binding. Receptor inhibitors prevent signal recognition, while inhibitors of signal biosynthesis reduce the production of functional autoinducers. Other approaches involve natural products, synthetic molecules, antibodies, nanoparticles, engineered enzymes, and probiotic or microbiome-based interventions [20]. Enzymatic quorum quenching has received considerable attention because enzymes can potentially degrade signaling molecules with high specificity. Lactonases, acylases, oxidoreductases, and other signal-modifying enzymes have been investigated against different classes of QS molecules. Research indicates that interference with QS can reduce virulence and biofilm-associated phenotypes in several pathogens.

However, QS inhibition faces important biological challenges. Signaling networks are redundant, environmentally regulated, and evolutionarily plastic. Pathogens may compensate for the inhibition of one QS pathway through alternative signaling systems or mutations that alter regulatory architecture. Therefore, successful therapeutic approaches may require simultaneous targeting of multiple regulatory nodes.

13. Natural Products and Synthetic QS Inhibitors

Plants, fungi, marine organisms, and microorganisms produce chemically diverse compounds capable of interfering with bacterial signaling. Phenolics, flavonoids, terpenoids, alkaloids, peptides, and other secondary metabolites have been investigated as potential QS inhibitors. Natural products may interfere with signal synthesis, receptor binding, signal stability, or downstream transcription. Their major attraction is the possibility of developing antivirulence agents that attenuate pathogenicity without necessarily killing bacteria. Synthetic QS inhibitors provide another route toward rational therapeutic design. Structural knowledge of receptor–signal interactions can be used to develop molecules that competitively inhibit QS receptors. In *P. aeruginosa*, targeting LasR, RhlR, PqsR, or signal biosynthesis has been explored extensively. Nevertheless, *in vitro* QS inhibition does not automatically translate into clinical efficacy. Compound stability, bioavailability, tissue penetration, toxicity, pharmacokinetics, microbiome effects, and the spatial heterogeneity of infection sites must all be considered.

14. QS Inhibition in Combination with Antibiotics

A particularly promising strategy is the combination of QS inhibitors with conventional antibiotics. By suppressing biofilm development, virulence factor production, or efflux-associated mechanisms, QS inhibition may increase bacterial susceptibility to antimicrobial treatment. This approach could provide several advantages. Antibiotics would retain their direct bactericidal or bacteriostatic activity, while QS inhibitors would weaken the pathogen's collective defense mechanisms.

In biofilm-associated infections, disrupting communication and matrix development could improve antimicrobial penetration and enhance bacterial clearance. However, combination therapies must be evaluated carefully because QS inhibition may generate unexpected physiological changes. Alteration of bacterial metabolism can sometimes increase or decrease antimicrobial susceptibility depending on the pathogen and drug involved. Consequently, combination strategies should be guided by mechanistic studies rather than assuming that QS inhibition universally enhances antibiotic activity.

17. Conclusion

Quorum sensing represents a central mechanism through which bacterial populations coordinate collective behavior and adapt to changing ecological conditions. Its importance in pathogenicity extends beyond simple cell-density detection because QS is integrated with metabolic regulation, two-component systems, small regulatory RNAs, stress responses, secretion systems, biofilm formation, and host–microbiome interactions. Through these interconnected networks, pathogens can coordinate virulence-factor production, nutrient acquisition, motility, adhesion, biofilm maturation, immune evasion, and persistence. The LuxS/AI-2 system additionally demonstrates that microbial communication can extend across species boundaries, making QS a major determinant of polymicrobial interactions. Evidence from *P. aeruginosa*, *S. aureus*, *V. cholerae*, and pathogenic *E. coli* illustrates the diversity of QS-mediated regulatory architectures. Consequently, disruption of microbial communication has emerged as a promising antivirulence strategy. Nevertheless, the plasticity and redundancy of QS networks, spatial heterogeneity within infections, and potential effects on beneficial microbiota present significant challenges. Future progress will depend on systems-level characterization of QS networks and development of selective, context-specific interventions that combine quorum quenching with established antimicrobial and host-directed therapies.

References

1. Bassler, B. L. (1999). How bacteria talk to each other: Regulation of gene expression by quorum sensing. *Current Opinion in Microbiology, 2*(6), 582–587.
2. Miller, M. B., & Bassler, B. L. (2001). Quorum sensing in bacteria. *Annual Review of Microbiology, 55*, 165–199.
3. Xavier, K. B., & Bassler, B. L. (2003). LuxS quorum sensing: More than just a numbers game. *Current Opinion in Microbiology, 6*(2), 191–197.
4. Waters, C. M., & Bassler, B. L. (2005). Quorum sensing: Cell-to-cell communication in bacteria. *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology, 21*, 319–346.
5. Williams, P., Winzer, K., Chan, W. C., & Cámara, M. (2007). Look who's talking: Communication and quorum sensing in the bacterial world. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 362*(1483), 1119–1134.
6. Ng, W. L., & Bassler, B. L. (2009). Bacterial quorum-sensing network architectures. *Annual Review of Genetics, 43*, 197–222.
7. Papenfort, K., & Bassler, B. L. (2016). Quorum sensing signal-response systems in Gram-negative bacteria. *Nature Reviews Microbiology, 14*, 576–588.
8. Whiteley, M., Diggle, S. P., & Greenberg, E. P. (2017). Progress in and promise of bacterial quorum sensing research. *Nature, 551*, 313–320.
9. Rémy, B., Mion, S., Plener, L., Elias, M., Chabrière, E., & Daudé, D. (2018). Interference in bacterial quorum sensing: A biopharmaceutical perspective. *Frontiers in Pharmacology, 9*, 203.
10. Mukherjee, S., Moustafa, D. A., Smith, C. D., Goldberg, J. B., & Bassler, B. L. (2018). The RhlR quorum-sensing receptor controls *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pathogenesis and biofilm development independently of its canonical homoserine lactone autoinducer. *PLoS Pathogens, 14*, e1006704.
11. Grandclément, C., Tannières, M., Moréra, S., Dessaux, Y., Faure, D., & Quorum-quenching studies. (2016). Quorum quenching: Role in nature and applied developments. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews, 40*, 86–116.
12. Kalia, V. C., & Purohit, H. J. (2008). Quenching the quorum sensing system: Potential antibacterial drug targets. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology, 34*, 215–221.
13. Brackman, G., & Coenye, T. (2015). Quorum sensing inhibitors as anti-biofilm agents. *Current Pharmaceutical Design, 21*, 5–11.
14. Papenfort, K., & Bassler, B. L. (2016). Quorum sensing signal-response systems in Gram-negative bacteria. *Nature Reviews Microbiology, 14*, 576–588.
15. Liu, H. Y., Prentice, E. L., & Webber, M. A. (2024). Mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance in biofilms. *npj Antimicrobials and Resistance, 2*, 27.
16. Zhang, X. Z., Zhang, D., Zhou, D., Zheng, S., Li, S., Hou, Q., Li, G., & Han, H. (2025). A comprehensive review of the pathogenic mechanisms of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: Synergistic effects of virulence factors, quorum sensing, and biofilm formation. *Frontiers in Microbiology, 16*.
17. Revealing quorum-sensing networks in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections through internal and external signals to prevent new resistance trends. (2024). *Microbiological Research*.
18. Targeting quorum sensing for manipulation of commensal microbiota. (2025). *Microbiome-related research*.
19. Quorum sensing and interspecies microbial communication in gastrointestinal ecosystems. (2023). *Frontiers/PMC*.
20. Molecular aspects of the functioning of pathogenic bacterial biofilms based on quorum-sensing signal-response systems and innovative non-antibiotic strategies for their elimination. (2024). *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*.