Xplore Environment
An International Journal

OPEN ACCESS

ISSN 3117-6143
Review Article

Plant–Fungus Interactions in Agricultural Ecosystems: Molecular Mechanisms of Pathogenesis, Host Defense and Disease Management

Naina Srivastava

Department of Botany, D.AV. PG College, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

Corresponding author: **Naina Srivastava** | E-mail: **drnainasrivastava@gmail.com**

Citation: Naina Srivastava (2021). Plant–Fungus Interactions in Agricultural Ecosystems: Molecular Mechanisms of Pathogenesis, Host Defense and Disease Management. *Xplore Environment: An International Journal.* **DOI: https://doi.org/10.51470/XE.2021.1.1.17**

Received 14 January 2021 | Revised 11 February 2021 | Accepted 15 March 2021 | Available Online April 19 2021

Abstract

Interactions between plants and fungi range from complex cooperative associations to destructive relationships. In agricultural ecosystems, these interactions significantly influence plant health, crop productivity, nutrient acquisition, disease development, and ecosystem stability. Pathogenic fungi employ diverse molecular and cellular mechanisms to recognize susceptible hosts, penetrate plant tissues, obtain nutrients, and manipulate or suppress host defense responses. In response, plants have evolved multi-layered defense systems, including constitutive barriers, pattern recognition receptors, pattern-triggered immunity, effector-triggered immunity, phytohormone signaling, reactive oxygen species, antimicrobial metabolites, and defense-related gene networks. The outcome of these interactions is determined by the interplay between fungal effectors, plant defense signals, secondary metabolites, and environmental stimuli. Beneficial fungi—including mycorrhizal fungi and certain endophytes—can promote plant growth and enhance disease resistance through nutrient exchange, the induction of systemic immunity, and modulation of the plant microbiome. Recent advances in genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics, microscopy, and microbiome research have expanded our understanding of molecular interactions between plants and fungi. These technologies have revealed crucial information regarding pathogen recognition, hormonal signaling, metabolic processes, epigenetic regulation, and microbial community dynamics. Climate change, agricultural intensification, soil degradation, and shifts in pathogen populations are making plant-fungus interactions increasingly complex by altering host dynamics and pathogen survival. Understanding these mechanisms is fundamental to the development of sustainable disease management strategies, such as the use of resistant plants, beneficial microorganisms, biological control, microbiome engineering, molecular breeding, and precision agriculture.

Keywords: *plant–fungus interactions, fungal pathogens, plant immunity, effector proteins, host defense, plant–microbe interactions.*

1. Introduction

Plants constantly interact with diverse fungal communities inhabiting the rhizosphere, spermosphere, internal tissues, and surrounding soil. These fungi can have varied impacts on their hosts: some form beneficial symbioses—supporting nutrient uptake, growth, and stress tolerance—while others cause detrimental diseases that reduce crop productivity and quality. Consequently, the biological interaction between plants and fungi is not determined solely by the specific organisms involved but emerges from dynamic molecular interactions involving host genotypes, fungal lifestyles, microbial communities, and environmental conditions [1]. Fungal diseases pose a significant obstacle to global agricultural production. Major pathogens from various genera—such as *Fusarium, Botrytis, Alternaria, Magnaporthe, Phytophthora, Puccinia, Ustilago, Colletotrichum,* and *Rhizoctonia*—cause diseases affecting cereals, legumes, vegetables, fruits, and plantation crops. These pathogens employ diverse infection strategies: necrotrophic fungi kill host cells and obtain nutrients from dead tissue; biotrophic pathogens keep host cells alive while extracting nutrients; and hemibiotrophic pathogens switch between biotrophic and necrotrophic phases during disease progression. The distinctions between these lifestyles are increasingly viewed as variable rather than absolute, given that many pathogens can alter their nutritional strategies in response to internal host conditions or the environment [2]. Successful infection requires a coordinated sequence of events. Fungal spores or other propagules initially come into contact with the plant surface, where they detect chemical and physical signals that influence germination, attachment, and the differentiation of infection structures. Subsequently, the pathogens penetrate or colonize host tissues, where they must overcome various physical barriers, antimicrobial compounds, and immune defense systems during the

process. At the same time, plants recognize conserved microbial molecules and pathogen-derived effectors and activate defense mechanisms. Disease occurs when the pathogen successfully suppresses or circumvents these defenses and establishes sufficient colonization.

Plant immunity is organized into multiple levels. The first level comprises physical and chemical barriers, such as the cuticle, cell wall, antimicrobial metabolites, and pre-existing proteins. The second level involves the recognition of conserved microbial molecules by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), thereby triggering pattern-triggered immunity (PTI). Fungal pathogens can overcome PTI through secreted effector molecules that manipulate host plant cellular processes. Subsequently, plants can recognize specific pathogen effectors via intracellular immune receptors—often NLR-type receptors (containing nucleotide-binding domains and leucine-rich repeat regions)—leading to the activation of effector-triggered immunity (ETI). PTI and ETI are interconnected and jointly contribute to a robust immune response [3-4]. Recent research has also highlighted the importance of beneficial fungi for plant health.

Arbuscular and ectomycorrhizal fungi, endophytes, and fungi used in biocontrol can influence nutrient availability, root architecture, hormonal signaling, and disease resistance. Such interactions demonstrate that fungal associations should not be viewed solely through the lens of pathogenicity. Instead, plants exist within a dynamic network of fungal interactions, where beneficial and pathogenic relationships can influence one another [5]. Environmental changes also alter these relationships. Temperature, drought, elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide levels, soil nutrient availability, and humidity affect fungal growth, spore production, host susceptibility, and defense signaling mechanisms. Indeed, understanding plant-fungus interactions at the molecular, ecological, and agricultural levels is becoming increasingly important for the development of resilient cropping systems.

2. Diversity of Plant–Fungus Interactions

Plant–fungus interactions occur across a continuum from mutualism to parasitism. The classification of a fungal association as beneficial or pathogenic may also depend on environmental conditions, host genotype and microbial community composition.

Table 1. Major types of plant–fungus interactions

Interaction	Fungal lifestyle	Major effects on plants	Examples
Mycorrhizal symbiosis	Mutualistic	Improved nutrient and water acquisition, enhanced stress tolerance	Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
Endophytic association	Symbiotic/latent	Growth promotion, stress tolerance and disease resistance	*Trichoderma*, selected endophytes
Biotrophy	Obligate or facultative pathogenic	Nutrient extraction from living host cells	Rusts, powdery mildews
Necrotrophy	Pathogenic	Host cell death and tissue degradation	*Botrytis*, *Alternaria*
Hemibiotrophy	Sequential lifestyle	Initial living-tissue colonization followed by necrosis	*Colletotrichum*, *Magnaporthe*
Saprophytic association	Decomposer	Organic matter decomposition and nutrient cycling	Soil saprophytic fungi
Biocontrol interaction	Antagonistic toward pathogens	Pathogen suppression and induced host resistance	*Trichoderma* spp.

The ecological importance of these associations extends beyond individual plants. Fungal communities participate in decomposition, nutrient cycling, soil aggregation and plant community organization. Agricultural management practices can alter fungal diversity and consequently affect both disease pressure and beneficial microbial functions.

3. Recognition and Establishment of Fungal Infection

The initial interaction between fungal pathogens and plants occurs on the plant surface. Spores sense environmental and host signals, including surface texture, chemical compounds, nutrients, moisture, and physical contact. These signals regulate germination and the development of specialized infection structures. For many pathogens, the formation of germ tubes, appressoria, penetration hyphae, or other specialized structures follows attachment to the plant surface. Appressoria are particularly important in pathogens that generate mechanical and enzymatic forces to penetrate the host cuticle and cell wall [5-6]. Simultaneously, plants monitor their surfaces for microbe-associated molecular signatures. Fungal cell walls contain conserved components, such as chitin and β-glucan, which can act as microbe-associated molecular patterns.

Plant receptors recognize these molecules and activate signaling pathways associated with PTI [7]. The outcome depends partly on the fungus's ability to modulate or evade this detection. Some pathogens release enzymes that alter fungal cell wall fragments, while others trigger effects that interfere with host receptor signaling. Consequently, successful pathogens are engaged in an ongoing molecular arms race in which both organisms continuously modify their strategies.

4. Fungal Pathogenicity and Virulence Mechanisms

Fungal pathogenicity involves multiple coordinated mechanisms rather than a single virulence factor. These include adhesion, penetration, secretion of cell-wall-degrading enzymes, toxin production, nutrient acquisition, effector secretion, manipulation of host metabolism and suppression of immunity.

4.1 Cell-wall degradation

The plant cell wall acts as a primary structural barrier against fungal invasion. Pathogens produce carbohydrate-active enzymes capable of degrading or modifying cellulose, hemicellulose, pectin, and other wall components. Pectinases, cellulases, hemicellulases, and related enzymes facilitate tissue penetration and nutrient release [8].

However, the degradation of plant cell walls also generates fragments that can act as damage-associated molecular patterns. Consequently, fungal pathogens must balance tissue degradation with mechanisms that suppress the immune responses triggered by this process.

4.2 Toxin production

Many necrotrophic fungi produce secondary metabolites that affect plant cells or disrupt physiological processes. Fungal toxins can alter membranes, inhibit photosynthesis, modify ion transport, or trigger programmed cell death [9]. Host-specific toxins can significantly contribute to disease susceptibility in certain plant genotypes. Their importance illustrates the complex biological relationship between fungal secondary metabolism and plant genetics.

4.3 Nutrient acquisition

Fungal pathogens require carbon, nitrogen, iron and other nutrients to sustain growth within plant tissues. Pathogens therefore manipulate host metabolism and activate nutrient-acquisition mechanisms. Siderophores and other iron-chelating compounds can facilitate iron acquisition, while secreted enzymes release nutrients from host polymers [10]. Some fungi also manipulate host sugar transport and metabolism to redirect carbon toward infected tissues. The pathogen may thereby establish a localized metabolic environment favorable to colonization.

4.4 Effector proteins

Effectors are among the most important molecular determinants in plant-fungus interactions. Effectors can be secreted into the extracellular space or translocated into the host cell; once there, they modify immune signaling, metabolism, transcription, protein stability, or intercellular transport [11]. Fungal effectors can suppress PTI by interfering with receptor-mediated signaling, calcium flux, the production of reactive oxygen species, or the expression of defense genes. Other effectors alter host metabolism to promote pathogen growth [12]. The identification of effector repertoires through comparative genomics has significantly expanded our understanding of fungal pathogenicity. Effector-encoding genes can evolve rapidly due to the strong selective pressure exerted by the host immune system.

5. Plant Defense Against Fungal Pathogens

Plants lack mobile immune cells and antibody-based adaptive immunity. Instead, they rely on sophisticated innate immune mechanisms operating at the cellular and systemic levels.

5.1 Preformed defenses

The first barrier consists of structural and chemical defenses. The cuticle limits penetration, while the cell wall provides mechanical resistance. Plants also produce constitutive antimicrobial compounds, including phenolics, terpenoids, alkaloids and other secondary metabolites [13]. Cell-wall reinforcement can occur through deposition of callose, lignin and other structural polymers. These changes restrict pathogen movement and reinforce infection sites.

5.2 Effector-triggered immunity

Successful pathogens produce effectors capable of suppressing PTI. Consequently, plants have evolved intracellular immune receptors that recognize specific pathogen effectors or the cellular perturbations they induce [14]. This recognition can activate ETI, often triggering a rapid and robust immune response that includes the localized programmed cell death known as the hypersensitive response. ETI is crucial for combating pathogens with biotrophic or hemibiotrophic lifestyles, as restricting access to living host tissue can limit nutrient acquisition by the pathogen. Currently, PTI and ETI are considered interconnected layers of plant immunity rather than independent pathways. Their interaction forms a dynamic defense network capable of responding to both conserved microbial signatures and pathogen-specific virulence mechanisms.

6. Hormonal Regulation of Plant–Fungus Interactions

Plant hormones provide a fundamental regulatory framework linking pathogen recognition to physiological responses. Salicylic acid (SA), jasmonic acid (JA), and ethylene (ET) play crucial roles in defense against fungal pathogens [15]. Typically, SA-mediated signaling is more effective against biotrophs and certain types of hemibiotrophs, whereas JA and ET are essential for responses to necrotrophs. However, these are not rigid categories. Complex interactions between hormonal signaling pathways enable plants to fine-tune their responses according to the pathogen's lifestyle and environmental conditions. Other hormones—such as abscisic acid, auxins, cytokinins, gibberellins, and brassinosteroids—can also influence disease resistance. These hormonal interactions can either potentiate or inhibit defense pathways, depending on the nature of the pathogen and environmental conditions.

Table 2. Major plant signaling pathways involved in fungal defense

Signaling component	Major function	Relevance to fungal interactions
Salicylic acid	Defense signaling and systemic resistance	Important against biotrophic pathogens
Jasmonic acid	Defense against tissue-damaging organisms	Important against necrotrophs
Ethylene	Defense modulation and stress responses	Cooperates with JA in many necrotrophic interactions
Abscisic acid	Abiotic stress and immune regulation	Context-dependent effects on disease resistance
Auxin	Growth and developmental regulation	Can influence susceptibility and pathogen manipulation
Reactive oxygen species	Signaling and antimicrobial activity	Rapid defense activation
Calcium	Signal transduction	Links receptor activation with downstream responses
MAPKs	Signal amplification and transcriptional regulation	Regulate defense-associated gene expression

7. Reactive Oxygen Species and Redox Signaling

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are among the first cellular signals generated following pathogen detection. An oxidative burst can occur immediately upon pathogen recognition, playing a direct role in combating the pathogen while simultaneously functioning indirectly as a signaling mechanism [16]. ROS can reinforce cell walls through oxidative cross-linking and activate transcriptional networks involved in defense. However, excessive ROS levels can damage the host cell. Consequently, plants possess sophisticated antioxidant systems, including superoxide dismutase, catalase, peroxidase, glutathione, and ascorbate [17]. Pathogens can manipulate this redox balance; some fungal effectors interfere with ROS production or scavenging, whereas necrotrophic pathogens may exploit host cell death to facilitate colonization. Thus, the final outcome depends on the timing, location, and intensity of ROS accumulation.

8. Secondary Metabolites and Chemical Defense

Plant secondary metabolites constitute an important chemical barrier against fungal pathogens. Phenylpropanoids, flavonoids, phytoalexins, terpenoids, alkaloids, and glucosinolates can inhibit fungal growth or alter pathogen development [18]. Phytoalexins are antimicrobial compounds synthesized following pathogen recognition. Their accumulation is regulated by defense signaling and the transcriptional activation of biosynthetic pathways. Fungi have evolved mechanisms to tolerate or detoxify plant antimicrobial compounds. Some pathogens possess transport systems, metabolic enzymes, or detoxification pathways that reduce the toxicity of host-derived compounds. This molecular interaction illustrates the evolutionary arms race between host defense and pathogen adaptation.

9. Beneficial Fungi and Plant Health

Not all interactions between plants and fungi are pathogenic in nature. Beneficial fungi can significantly enhance plant productivity and resilience. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi form symbiotic associations with the roots of many agricultural plants. Their extensive hyphal networks increase the effective volume of soil explored by the plant and facilitate the uptake of phosphorus, nitrogen, and micronutrients. In exchange, the plant provides carbon derived from photosynthesis. Mycorrhizal associations can also influence disease resistance. Colonization can prime plants to mount stronger responses to pathogen attacks, a phenomenon often associated with induced systemic resistance.

Table 3. Major beneficial fungal groups and their agricultural functions

Fungal group	Major agricultural function
Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi	Phosphorus acquisition, drought tolerance and defense priming
Trichoderma spp.	Biological control, growth promotion and induced resistance
Endophytic fungi	Stress tolerance and pathogen suppression
Ectomycorrhizal fungi	Nutrient acquisition and root protection
Entomopathogenic fungi	Biological control of insect pests
Decomposer fungi	Nutrient cycling and organic matter decomposition

10. *Trichoderma* and Biological Control

Trichoderma species are among the most intensively studied beneficial fungi in agricultural crop protection. Their antagonistic activity against plant pathogens can be attributed to mycoparasitism, competition for nutrients and space, the secretion of antimicrobial metabolites, and the production of hydrolytic enzymes [19]. Trichoderma can stimulate plant defense mechanisms. Root colonization can trigger systemic responses that provide protection against pathogens in more distant plant tissues. This interaction illustrates the distinction between direct biological pest control and host-mediated disease resistance. The agricultural use of Trichoderma serves as a key example of translating insights from plant-fungus biology into sustainable crop protection. However, efficacy can vary depending on the fungal strain, crop genotype, soil conditions, climate, and the existing microbial community.

11. Plant–Fungus Interactions and the Rhizosphere Microbiome

The rhizosphere is a complex microbial ecosystem in which fungi interact with bacteria, archaea, other fungi and plant roots. Root exudates provide carbon substrates and signaling molecules that influence microbial community assembly [20]. Pathogens must compete with resident microorganisms for resources and ecological niches. Beneficial microorganisms can suppress pathogens through antibiosis, nutrient competition, predation or induction of plant defenses. Consequently, disease resistance can depend partly on the structure and functional capacity of the microbiome. The concept of the "microbiome as an extended plant phenotype" emphasizes that plant health is influenced by the collective activities of microbial communities. Microbiome engineering therefore represents a promising direction for sustainable crop protection. Instead of targeting pathogens individually, agricultural systems could be designed to favor microbial communities that naturally suppress disease.

12. Epigenetic Regulation of Plant–Fungus Interactions

Epigenetic processes regulate gene expression without altering DNA sequence and are increasingly recognized as important components of plant immunity and pathogen adaptation. DNA methylation, histone modification and chromatin remodeling can influence defense-related gene expression. Plants may modify chromatin states during pathogen attack, enabling rapid activation of defense genes. Fungal pathogens also use epigenetic mechanisms to regulate developmental transitions, secondary metabolism and effector expression. Transposable elements and genome plasticity may contribute to rapid adaptation to host resistance. Understanding these mechanisms may provide new opportunities for crop improvement because epigenetic variation can sometimes generate phenotypic diversity without permanent changes in DNA sequence.

13. Evolutionary Arms Race Between Plants and Fungi

Plant–fungus interactions are shaped by continuous reciprocal selection. Plants evolve resistance mechanisms that recognize pathogen molecules, whereas fungi evolve strategies to evade or suppress those defenses. This process is often described as a molecular arms race. Resistance genes can impose strong selection on pathogen populations, leading to diversification or loss of corresponding effectors. Conversely, pathogens can acquire new virulence traits through mutation, recombination, gene duplication, horizontal gene transfer or genome rearrangements. The agricultural consequences are substantial. Deployment of genetically uniform resistant cultivars can impose strong selection on pathogen populations, potentially leading to the emergence of virulent races. Durable resistance therefore often requires combining multiple resistance mechanisms with agronomic and biological approaches.

14. Climate Change and Emerging Plant–Fungus Interactions

Climate change is modifying the environmental conditions under which plants and fungi interact. Temperature shifts can alter fungal growth rates, sporulation, overwintering, host susceptibility and geographic distribution. Drought can weaken plant defenses and alter carbon allocation, whereas excessive humidity can favor spore germination and infection. Elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide may alter plant physiology and tissue chemistry, potentially changing host–pathogen interactions. Changes in climate can also enable pathogens to expand into previously unsuitable geographical regions. The emergence of new disease complexes may therefore result from interactions among changing pathogen populations, host distribution and environmental conditions. Agricultural disease-management strategies must consequently consider future environmental conditions rather than relying exclusively on historical disease patterns.

15. Molecular Breeding for Fungal Disease Resistance

Understanding plant–fungus interactions provides molecular targets for crop improvement. Resistance breeding traditionally relies on phenotypic screening, but genomics has accelerated identification of resistance-associated loci. Marker-assisted selection, quantitative trait locus mapping, genome-wide association studies and genomic selection can facilitate incorporation of disease-resistance traits into elite cultivars. Genome editing technologies, particularly CRISPR-based approaches, provide additional opportunities. Candidate susceptibility genes can potentially be modified to reduce pathogen compatibility, while regulatory regions controlling defense pathways may be altered to enhance resistance, disease resistance must be balanced against potential effects on growth, yield and environmental adaptation. Broad-spectrum and durable resistance remains a major objective.

16. Conclusion

Plant-fungus interactions constitute a dynamic molecular and ecological system that significantly influences crop health, productivity, and resilience. Pathogenic fungi employ sophisticated mechanisms, including host recognition, tissue penetration, nutrient acquisition, cell wall degradation, toxin production, and the manipulation of plant immunity via effectors. Plants respond through interconnected defense layers, such as pattern recognition, PTI, ETI, hormonal signaling, reactive oxygen species, cell wall reinforcement, and antimicrobial metabolism. These interactions are further shaped by beneficial fungi, rhizosphere microorganisms, and environmental conditions. Advances in genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics, and microbiome research have demonstrated that disease development is governed by complex networks rather than isolated host or pathogen factors. This understanding offers the opportunity to move beyond traditional pathogen eradication toward integrated strategies based on durable host resistance, beneficial microorganisms, microbiome engineering, precision breeding, and ecologically responsible disease management. Future research should focus on understanding the spatial and temporal complexity of plant-fungus interactions and on translating molecular discoveries into concrete, field-applicable solutions. Such integration will be essential for developing agricultural systems capable of maintaining productivity despite the growing threat of pathogens and environmental changes.

References

1. Dodds, P. N., & Rathjen, J. P. (2010). Plant immunity: Towards an integrated view of plant–pathogen interactions. *Nature Reviews Genetics, 11*, 539–548.
2. Jones, J. D. G., & Dangl, J. L. (2006). The plant immune system. *Nature, 444*, 323–329.
3. Spoel, S. H., & Dong, X. (2012). How do plants achieve immunity? Defence without specialized immune cells. *Nature Reviews Immunology, 12*, 89–100.
4. Cook, D. E., Mesarich, C. H., & Thomma, B. P. H. J. (2015). Understanding plant immunity as a surveillance system to detect invasion. *Annual Review of Phytopathology, 53*, 541–563.
5. Dean, R., Van Kan, J. A. L., Pretorius, Z. A., Hammond-Kosack, K. E., Di Pietro, A., Spanu, P. D., Rudd, J. J., Dickman, M., Kahmann, R., Ellis, J., & Foster, G. D. (2012). The top 10 fungal pathogens in molecular plant pathology. *Molecular Plant Pathology, 13*, 414–430.
6. Lo Presti, L., Kahmann, R., & Rafiqi, M. (2015). Fungal effectors and plant susceptibility. *Annual Review of Plant Biology, 66*, 513–545.
7. Giraldo, M. C., & Valent, B. (2013). Filamentous plant pathogen effectors in action. *Nature Reviews Microbiology, 11*, 800–814.
8. Houterman, P. M., Cornelissen, B. J. C., & Rep, M. (2008). Suppression of plant resistance by fungal pathogens. *Annual Review of Phytopathology, 46*, 461–481.
9. Pieterse, C. M. J., Zamioudis, C., Berendsen, R. L., Weller, D. M., Van Wees, S. C. M., & Bakker, P. A. H. M. (2014). Induced systemic resistance by beneficial microbes. *Annual Review of Phytopathology, 52*, 347–375.

10. Vinale, F., Sivasithamparam, K., Ghisalberti, E. L., Marra, R., Woo, S. L., & Lorito, M. (2008). *Trichoderma–plant–pathogen interactions*. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 40*, 1–10.
11. Smith, S. E., & Read, D. J. (2008). *Mycorrhizal Symbiosis* (3rd ed.). Academic Press.
12. Plett, J. M., & Martin, F. M. (2018). Know your enemy, embrace your friend: Using omics to understand how plants respond differently to pathogenic and mutualistic microbes. *PLoS Pathogens, 14*, e1007322.
13. Fesel, P. H., & Zuccaro, A. (2016). β-glucan: Crucial component of the fungal cell wall and elusive MAMP in plants. *Fungal Genetics and Biology, 90*, 53–60.
14. Jones, J. D. G., Vance, R. E., & Dangl, J. L. (2014). Intracellular innate immune surveillance devices in plants and animals. *Science, 344*, 264–269.
15. Yu, D., Chen, C., & Chen, Z. (2001). Evidence for an important role of WRKY DNA binding proteins in the regulation of NPR1 gene expression. *The Plant Cell, 13*, 1527–1539.
16. Pieterse, C. M. J., Van der Does, D., Zamioudis, C., Leon-Reyes, A., & Van Wees, S. C. M. (2012). Hormonal modulation of plant immunity. *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology, 28*, 489–521.
17. Newman, M. A., Sundelin, T., Nielsen, J. T., & Erbs, G. (2013). MAMP (microbe-associated molecular pattern) triggered immunity in plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science, 4*, 139.
18. Berendsen, R. L., Pieterse, C. M. J., & Bakker, P. A. H. M. (2012). The rhizosphere microbiome and plant health. *Trends in Plant Science, 17*, 478–486.
19. Trivedi, P., Leach, J. E., Tringe, S. G., Sa, T., & Singh, B. K. (2020). Plant–microbiome interactions: From community assembly to plant health. *Nature Reviews Microbiology, 18*, 607–621.
20. Fones, H., & Gurr, S. (2017). The impact of climate change on plant diseases: An evolutionary perspective. *Fungal Biology Reviews, 31*, 166–175.