Xplore Environment
An International Journal
OPEN ACCESS
ISSN 3117-6143
Original Research Article
Check for updates

Environment Protection in Ancient Indian Thought and its Comparison with Modern Perspectives

Ram Krishna Mandal*[1], and Abdul Hai Ahmed[2]

[1]*Department of Economics, Cooch Behar Panchanan Barma University, Cooch Behar, West Bengal, India*
[2]*Department of Economics, Alamganj Rangamati College, Alamganj, Assam, India*

Corresponding author: **Ram Krishna Mandal** | E-mail: **rkm_1966@yahoo.co.in**

Citation: Ram Krishna Mandal, and Abdul Hai Ahmed (2026). Environment Protection in Ancient Indian Thought and its Comparison with Modern Perspectives. *Xplore Environment: An International Journal.* **DOI: https://doi.org/10.51470/XE.2026.6.2.11**

Received 09 June 2026 | Revised 11 July 2026 | Accepted August 13 2026 | Available Online September 11 2026

Abstract

Environmental protection is something that has always been an integral part of human civilization, and ancient Indian philosophy provides meaningful insight into sustainable lifestyles and ecological awareness. In relation to ancient India, perhaps the hidden glory of the legacy of Indian knowledge could still be unwrapped through creative research and established institutions.

Objective: *The study seeks to explore environmental protection in ancient Indian thought and its comparison in context of the modern perspectives. Research Methodology:This research is qualitative, descriptive, and analytical in nature. It will explore past traditions in terms of philosophical, religious, and cultural frames of reference from ancient Indian society that relate to ecological consciousness and compare those traditions to contemporary scientific and policy-based approaches to environmental protection.*

Results and Discussions: *The environment in ancient Indian thought was part of a cosmic unity in which humans, nature, and the divine were understood as inter-dependent beings. The underpinnings for this approach to the environment were derived from the Vedas, Upanishads, Smritis, Puranas, Buddhist and Jain traditions, as well as classical works like the Arthashastra and several Ayurvedic Samhitas.*

Conclusion: *Ancient Indian thought represents a particularly rich source of insights into sustainable ways of living and protecting the environment.*

Keywords: *Civilization, Ecology, Hidden glory, Nature, Arthashastra, Ayurvedic Samhitas.*

Introduction

Environmental protection is something that has always been an integral part of human civilization, and ancient Indian philosophy provides meaningful insight into sustainable lifestyles and ecological awareness. The ancient Indian approach is built upon spirituality, ethics, and community-based aspects, and depicts the interrelatedness of all living beings, as well as the essence of nature [7 & 29]. In this essay, the environmental philosophies articulated in ancient Indian texts will be discussed and an effort will be made to compare ancient and modern environmental thought, as well as note both similarities and differences as the discussion unfolds. Ancient India indeed was the cradle of learning in a number of ways. Had there been separate school dedicated to the recovery and preservation of ancient knowledge created after independence, a high position of development in the world could have likely been achieved by India [14]. The purpose of this institution should have been to search for, use, and preserve ancient knowledge, done with the expertise of a Sanskrit scholar, as most of the scriptures (for example, the Vedas, Upanishads, Puranas, Ramayana, and Mahabharata) were written in Sanskrit [16 & 25]. The subject experts could then have deciphered the Sutras (laws) and then utilised the same in practice. In relation to ancient India, perhaps the hidden glory of the legacy of Indian knowledge could still be unwrapped through creative research and established institutions.

Hindu Scriptures

The Vedas, if considered as the oldest sacred scriptures in the world, had incredible depth of scientific and philosophical knowledge. The Vedas include cogent references to the weather cycle, the phenomenon of rainfall, the hydrologic cycle, environmental protection, and ecological balance, exhibiting an attunement to nature by the seers of that time [28 & 2]. Examples of awareness of climate change, water pollution, ecological imbalance, and environmental degradation are also reflected in the hymns in the Rigveda, Yajurveda, Samaveda, and the Atharvaveda [25 &1]. Besides the Vedas, other important Hindu texts such as Ramayana, Mahabharata, Bhagavad Gita, Upanishads, Brahmanas, Aranyakas, Harivamsa, and Puranas include varied knowledge in philosophy, ethical, ecological, and cosmological constructs [16 & 3].

*© **Authors:** Published in **Xplore Environment: An International Journal** under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). No commercial use or modifications permitted.*

Ancient literature identifies and coincides with many of today's perspectives of a holistic ecological approach. The overarching message supports environmental protection, ecology, plant and animal life, rivers, lakes, and water bodies. Human misbehavior was accepted as a course of human action that could disturb the natural cycle and its processes [7]. The Vedic sages attempted to conceive all aspects of science and interpreted laws of Nature that transcend Time, in fact above Time and Space, were beyond Time. Many words and expressions in ancient Indian literature also clearly name and describe meteorological and atmospheric phenomena and suggest a highly sophisticated sense of ecological consciousness [1 & 14].

Objective

The study seeks to explore the environment protection in ancient Indian thought and its comparison in context of modern perspectives.

Research Methodology

The current research is titled "Environmental Protection in Ancient Indian Thought and Comparison with Modern Work." The study is qualitative, descriptive, and analytical in approach. The study critiques ancient Indian philosophical, religious, and cultural traditions and its ecological consciousness and compares these with modern work dealing with scientific/ policy-based approaches on environmental protections.

Research Design

The research is qualitative, interpretive and consists of textual analysis of primary sources that include the Vedas, Upanishads, Puranas, Epics (Ramayana, Mahabharata), Smritis, Buddhist and Jain texts and various classical texts like the Arthashastra, Ayurvedic Samhitas etc. These primary texts contain information regarding ecological ethics, sustainable farming practices, conservation traditions and ecological or holistic worldviews. I also examined many secondary sources, were scholarly books, journal articles, and contemporary texts on environmental ethics and sustainability.

Data Collection and Data availability

The present research is working with documentary based and textual research methods. The data collection involved:

1. Primary texts: Sanskrit scriptures and their authoritative translations i.e.., Rigveda, Atharvaveda, Manusmriti [19], Arthashastra, Charaka Samhita, etc.

2. Secondary literature: Published research from scholars in environmental philosophy, Indian history, and ecological tradition i.e.., Dwivedi, 1990; Gadgil& Guha, 1993; Sharma, 2005; Klostermaier, 2007).

3. Modern frameworks to provide comparison: International documents like the Brundtland Report, 1987; Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005; India legislation, Environment Protection Act, 1986.

Method of Analysis

This method employed is content analysis and comparative analysis. Content analysis was performed on ancient texts to isolate and identify recurrent ecological perspectives that contain elements of sacredness of nature, Panchamahabhutas, dharma, ahimsa, and community-based conservation. These findings from the analysis were then compared against modern ecological constructs (anthropocentrism, ecocentrism, biocentrism), legalism (national and international environmental laws), and scientific approaches to the management of ecosystems. This research uses a hermeneutical method in that the ancient scriptures are interpreted within their contextual standing while extracting their relevance to contemporary dilemmas.

Scope and Delimitations

The research scope will include the philosophical, ethical, and cultural dimensions of environmental protection in ancient Indian thought. Although there are references to practices in a range of civilizations, the study delimitates itself to an Indian context. The comparison against the modern paradigms will be thematic rather than comprehensive based on ethical, legal, ecological, and health-related dimensions.

Reliability and Validity

Reliability was achieved by working with credible translations of the historical Sanskrit texts as well as scholarly interpretations that have a wide range of cross citations. Comparison among several sources also provided cross-referenced protection against excessive interpretation based on a single text. The validity of the research was assuringly buttressed by placing ancient perspectives within modern-day conversations regarding sustainability to assure they remained valid to both past and present conditions of the human experience.

Ethical considerations

Lastly, the investigation respected and understood the cultural and spiritual sensitivities associated with the historical Indian scriptures. Interpretations were conducted academically without reductive or misrepresentative readings. I have also acknowledged sources and adequately referenced them.

Results and Discussions



Concept of Environment in Ancient Indian Thought

All ancient Indian philosophy and culture envisaged the environment as integral to the very fabric of existence. Rather than being understood as separate entities like we view it today, the environment in ancient Indian thought was part of a cosmic unity in which humans, nature, and the divine were understood as interdependent beings.

The underpinnings for this approach to the environment was derived from the Vedas, Upanishads, Smritis, Puranas, Buddhist and Jain traditions, as well as classical works like the Arthashastra and several Ayurvedic Samhitas [27].

a. Panchamahabhutas and Ecological Holism

According to the Taittiriya Upanishad (2.1.1), all beings are made from five great elements (Panchamahabhutas): Prithvi (earth), Apas (water), Tejas (fire), Vayu (air), and Akasha (ether). Each of these five elements resides within the human body and in the environment. This dual use of the elements illustrates a holistic understanding of human health being connected to the balance of the cosmos [27]. Environmental imbalance would cause disruption not just in nature, but it was also seen to affect one's spiritual and/or physical health.

b. Sacralization of Nature

Instead of separating natural entities from divine actions it was, in part, the deities that were sacralized and then used for moral cover for their protection in the events of attacks. In other words, in Ancient Indian culture the deities were sacralized so that the physical, value, and stance was protected by the deities under claim of sacredness. In addition to mentioning the elements separately, the Rigveda included hymns for nature, and acknowledged natural forces like Agni (fire), Surya (sun), Indra (rain), Vayu (wind), Prithvi (earth), as they were all viewed as divine powers that sustain life on Earth [11]. The Rigveda can also be understood as one of the oldest realities of their deified lives, as the Ganga and Yamuna Rivers were deified as goddesses of water. Sacred groves (dev vanas) were also a means of the community protecting This biodiversity as sacred spaces from destruction [9].

c. Dharma and Environmental Ethics

Both the notion of Rita (cosmic order) in the Vedas and the Dharma in later texts related environmental balance to moral duty. The Manusmriti (3.75–3.79) established punishments for pollution of water and devastation of forests, suggesting an early form of environmental law [32]. Environmental stewardship would be embedded in social and religious duty.

d. Forests and Biodiversity

While respect for forests (vanas) implied their value as realms of learning, meditation, and biodiversity, the Aranyakas (forest texts) identify their inherent spiritual value; for example, the forests in the Ramayana and Mahabharata were not wilderness to be conquered but rather places of refuge for ascetic practice and wealth of ecological diversity [6].

Jain and Buddhist practices implied through the doctrine of ahimsa (non-violence) an elevation of compassion for all beings by not only discouraging hunting but also advocating for the protection of all animals [13].

e. Agriculture and Ecological Sustainability

Agricultural treatises like Krishi-Parashara focused on organic practices, cycles in seasons (ṛitu-chakra), and the importance of maintaining soil integrity [26]. Similarly, agriculture was not understood to be an act of domination over nature. Farmers referred to land as Dharti Mata (Mother Earth), even while it signified fertility and abundance it also demanded respect and restraint.

f. Ayurveda and Environmental Health

Ayurveda as codified within the Charaka Samhita and Sushruta Samhita is focused on the environmental determinants of your health. Air (vayu), water (jala), and earth (prithvi) pollution became synonymous with disease [31]. The preventive health regimes of ritucharya (seasonally regulated daily routines) emphasized nurturing our adaptation to the environment's natural cycles. Ayurveda systematically reflected an ecological mindset within the medical tradition.

g. Philosophical Foundations

Works like the Isha Upanishad advocate mindfulness and restraint when consuming: "Īśāvāsyamidamsarvam"—"The whole universe is full of the Divine; therefore, enjoy with renunciation, do not covet" (Radhakrishnan, 1953). This displays a sustainability ethic similar to what we have today with respect to environmental moderation and conservations of environmental resources.

Ancient Indian Perspectives on Environmental Protection

1. Nature is Sacred: Vedic Texts

The Vedas are the oldest texts, describing many aspects of Hinduism, and recognize the sacredness of nature. For example, the Atharva Veda (12.1), declares, that nature should be worshiped and preserved:Mata bhumihputroahamprithivyah ("Earth is my mother, and I am her son") [24].This clearly demonstrates that nature is not only a physical realm and should be valued in and of itself, but that nature is a sacred entity, not just a resource to be consumed. These beliefs contributed to a cultural conception of environmental degradation involving a moral and spiritual transgression.

2. Ethical Principles: Dharma and Ahimsa

Dharma (goods or duties) and ahimsa (non-harming) are the core philosophical principles from ancient India, which was facilitated by the prevailing consciousness (Siddhartha lays out an example indicative of dharma in 1992). Notably, when talking about dharma, it is inclusive of not only relations between humans, but all forms of life and the biophysical environment. The Manusmriti contains prohibitions against polluting rivers, and prescribes punishments for various acts of environmental degradation [4].

Jainism is rooted in a concept of non-harm, where practitioners take great care to avoid harming even non-visible forms of life, which suggests a thoughtful approach to care of individual life and ecological awareness [12].

3. Community-Based Conservation Practices
Conservation was practiced in ancient India by community management of conservation areas through sacred groves, which were preserved because of religious beliefs. These groves were biodiversity islands and water catchment systems [10]. Communities also had traditional water management systems of tanks and irrigation systems, which also had ties to rituals that ensured sustainable water management and soil fertility [22].

4. Legal and Frameworks Found in Ancient Texts: In ancient times, texts like the Arthaśāstra of Kautilya (4th century BCE) contained one of the earliest state policies on environmental management, appointing superintendents of forests and specifying afforestation efforts, protection of wildlife, consequences of illegal felling of trees, and the use of natural resources [15]. Similarly, the Manusmriti (c. 2nd century BCE–2nd century CE) prescribed punishments for pollution of rivers and environmental damage [18].

5. Ritual and Seasonal Constraints: Rituals, festivals, and agriculture calendars impose seasonal constraints which can promote ecological sustainability. For instance, short lived fishing confinement (fishing restrictions) and hunting restrictions during breeding cycles, reflects an intuitive understanding of ecological cycles [8]. Rituals can ensure natural resources are not over-harvested and allow the resources to be rejuvenated.

Contemporary Thinking on Environment

1. Scientific Understanding and Ecosystem Services: Environmental policy today is framed through, ecology, climate, and ecosystem service continuum. The Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (2005) explains that ecosystems provide four essential services, provisioning, regulating, cultural, and supporting services, and co-production is of utmost importance for human well-being. Moreover, contemporary conceptions depart from religious sacral understandings of nature and depend on scientific ways of knowing for managing natural resources.

2. Ethical Theories in Environmental Philosophy: Modern environmental ethics include many perspectives, encompassing anthropocentric, eco-centric, and biocentric views. Aldo Leopold's land ethic conceptualized a "land-community" that includes soils, waters, plants, and animals, and he argued that “all members of the biotic community” have a "right to live, grow and flourish" [17] and that we should respect the "integrity, stability, and beauty of the biotic community". Arne Naess' deep ecology provided some of the intrinsic value of all living beings and shared some aspects of the eco-spiritual dimensions of ancient Indian thought, although much of it was done in a secular philosophical context [23].

3. International and National Laws: Modern states and global organizations have developed codified laws to protect the environment. The Brundtland Report (World Commission on Environment and Development [33] is often cited as the working definition of sustainable development and offers ways to develop and strengthen binding treaties on a global scale. Following the disaster in Bhopal, which killed thousands and poisoned others, India developed the Environment Protection Act (1986). This Act created a legally binding law for controlling pollution, placing limits on levels of biodiversity, and managing the governance of environmental issues [30].

4. Policy Instruments and Global Governance: Modern environmental protection is directly introduced through environmental impact assessments, emissions trading, biodiversity action plans, climate agreements (e.g., Paris Agreement), etc. This form of securing the environment is achieved through institutional means as well as enforcement through government and international organizations, rather than local religious or customary law, in a contemporary way.

Comparative Analysis: Ancient and Modern Environmental Thought

Aspect	Ancient Indian Thought	Modern Environmental Thought
Moral Foundation	Nature's holiness, dharma and ahimsa, and obligations to the planet and all living things (Atharva Veda; Manusmriti)	Theories of anthropocentrism, ecocentrism, and biocentrism (Leopold, Naess); secular ethics
Organisations	State regulations, communal water tanks, holy groves, and religious rites in Arthasastra	National legislation, NGOs, scientific organizations, and international treaties (UNFCCC, CBD)
System of Knowledge	Ecologically based customs, rituals, and intuition	Ecosystem services, climate science, and scientific ecology
Enforcement	King's decrees, societal taboos, and religious prohibitions	Global governance, market tools, and legal sanctions
Scale	Both local and regional (kingdoms, villages)	National and international (biodiversity treaties, climate change)
Advantages	Long-standing customs, strong community compliance, and deep cultural embeddedness	Enforceability of the law, scientific accuracy, and extensive coordination
Shortcomings	Context-specific and susceptible to economic and political influences	Implementation gaps that are frequently top-down and less culturally anchored

Comparison with Contemporary Environmental Perspectives

a. Ontological Dimension Ancient Indian Perspectives: Nature is sacred, animate, and intertwined with human and cosmic order; humans care for nature rather than exploit it. Contemporary Perspectives: Scientific and secular; we now mainly conceptualise nature as a system of resources, often chiefly determined by ecology, biology, and the physical sciences, although sustainability discourses can also inform potential definitions of nature [5 & 20].

b. Legal and Ethical Aspects Ancient Indian Perspectives: Environmental ethics were based in dharma and institutionalized in the form of religious-cultural regulative norms; for instance, practice of sacred groves, taboos, and experiential ritual. Contemporary Perspectives: Environmental protection is based on laws and regulations and framed by policy, treaties, and international accords, such as those for the UN Sustainable Development Goals, Paris Climate Agreement, etc.

c. Resources Ancient India: Continuity and sufficiency were the emphasis; Mother Earth (Dharti Mata), and the conception that exploiting the land beyond need is a sin was the norm. Contemporary Perspectives: The resource exploitation encouraged by early industrial models has been countered by sustainability discourses (for example the Brundtland Report, 1987, and ongoing conversations around sustainable development initiated in the 1970s).

d. Health and the Environment: Ancient Indian perspectives: All pollution extended disease; disease was defined in ecological terms (Ayurveda). Contemporary perspectives: Public health programs include the environmental determinants of health (air, water, soil, climate change), supported by biomedical science's health and epidemiological studies.

e. Climate and Sustainability: Ancient India: The seasonal cycles (ṛitu-chakra) of nature were the basis for the sync of agricultural and health practices, indicative of adaptation to climate. Contemporary Perspectives: Climate science employs modeled data to gauge the effects of climate warming, carbon emissions, and ecological tipping points.

Combining Contemporary Practices with Ancient Wisdom

Ancient Indian environmental thought focuses on moral, religious, and communal responsibilities, while modern environmental thought tends to emphasize scientific reasoning, law, and global governance. Emphasizing more traditional forms of environmental governance and integrating both forms will not only improve sustainable environmental governance, it can also serve to carry forward the traditional means of environmental governance. For instance, the revival of traditional, community forms of conservation (sacred groves) may complement modern methods of protecting biodiversity [10] and promote the importance of maintaining ecological balance in our interactions with nature. On top of traditional ways of conserving natural resources, ancient texts offer up ethical codes or norms which are relevant to contemporary environmental legislation, and which can offer thoughtful and holistic approaches to the protection of nature and the environment.

Contemporary Significance of Ancient Thought As It Relates to The Environment

Ancient Indian thought offers provide a cultural-philosophical tradition for thinking about sustainability that counters the overwhelmingly scientific approaches of modern environmental thought. Many practices, like as community protection of sacred groves; ritualized respect for natural resources and the ethics of moderation are not only important to an ancient culture, they resonate with present day ideas of ecological balance, biodiversity conservation, and the earth's carrying capacity to support humanity's ways of living.

Main Limitations of The Study

1. Solely Dependence on Qualitative Textual Interpretation: The study contains no field data, observations, or case studies, so all images of the scriptures in this work are only a qualitative interpretation from two perspectives, plus references from secondary data. Therefore, the actual practical generalisation of ecological ideas will be limited due to the total lack of empirical data from any physical contact with nature.

2. Interpretive bias introduced by translating Sanskrit: Use of translated Sanskrit sources introduces a cultural and linguistic interpretative bias that has the potential for significant variation between differing translations and/or commentaries. Therefore, it is very difficult to determine a common and reliable interpretation of these sources.

3. Lack of systematic engagement with modern environmental theories: The study provides an outline of themes from contemporary ecological theories, rather than a summation of empirical quantitative studies, legal frameworks or policy metrics relating to ecological and sustainability issues.

4. Limited by Geography & Culture: The research only looks at the Indian philosophical traditions and does not consider the environmental philosophies of other civilisations, therefore the opportunity to gain global comparative insights is limited.

5. Reductionism of Tradition: The approach treats the Ancient Indian traditions as "monolithic", and does not adequately distinguish between the differing approaches of the Vedic, Upanishadic, Buddhist, Jain, and Ayurvedic traditions.

6. Absence of a Critical Lens: By focusing on the positive ecological elements and omitting negative aspects of environmental practices or variation of these practices across class, caste, and region,

the research presents a one-dimensional portrayal of the Indian Philosophies.

7. Absence of Methodological Triangulation: The author has placed a large degree of reliance on content analysis only in the absence of other archaeological, historical and environmental evidence and therefore the conclusions reached are based on interpretative rather than empirical validation.

8. Historical Disconnect: As the content of this document contains no discussion regarding ecological decline during medieval, colonial, and post-colonial eras, it cannot provide any connection between ancient ecological thought and current environmental practices.

Conclusion

Philosophical concepts that originated in ancient Indian times contain a large amount of environmental knowledge still relevant today. These philosophical views blend with how, through scientific study and proven laws, we understand our environment, offering us the opportunity to create unique and modern conservation methods that also have deep cultural connections. Therefore, by blending ancient philosophical thought with contemporary understanding and scientific knowledge, we will create a greater willingness among society to participate in creating a sustainable planet and formulating a close relationship between humans and their environment. By utilising both ancient philosophies and contemporary understanding as one strategy to address today's environmental issues and create a sustainable community in which humans and nature coexist in balance and harmony.

Conflict of Interest: The author has declared no conflicts of interest.

Commitment: No funds have been received from any source.

References

1. Achar, B. N. N. (1992). On the astronomical basis of Hindu time cycles. *Indian Journal of History of Science, 27*(2), 145–161.
2. Atharvaveda. (1989). In R. Pandey (Ed.), *Atharvaveda Samhita* (Vols. 1–2). Chaukhamba Sanskrit Series. (Original work ca. 1200 BCE).
3. Bryant, E. F. (2001). *The yoga tradition of the Bhagavad Gita*. Penguin.
4. Bühler, G. (Trans.). (1969). *The Laws of Manu (Manusmriti)*. Motilal Banarsidass. (Original work published c. 2nd century BCE–2nd century CE).
5. Carson, R. (1962). *Silent spring*. Houghton Mifflin.
6. Doniger, W. (1999). *The Laws of Manu*. Penguin Books.
7. Dwivedi, O. P. (1990). *Dharmic ecology: Perspectives from the Hindu tradition*. State University of New York Press.
8. Dwivedi, O. P. (1990). Dharmic ecology and sustainability: Hindu vision. In J. R. Engel & J. G. Engel (Eds.), *Ethics of environment and development* (pp. 201–213). University of Arizona Press.
9. Gadgil, M., & Guha, R. (1993). *This fissured land: An ecological history of India*. Oxford University Press.
10. Gadgil, M., &Vartak, V. D. (1976). Sacred groves of India: A plea for continued conservation. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society, 72*(2), 313–320.
11. Griffith, R. T. H. (2004). *The Rigveda*. MunshiramManoharlal. (Original work published 1896).
12. Jaini, P. S. (1979). *The Jaina path of purification*. University of California Press.
13. Jaini, P. S. (2001). *Collected papers on Jaina studies*. Motilal Banarsidass.
14. Kak, S. (2000). *The astronomical code of the Rigveda*. MunshiramManoharlal.
15. Kautilya. (1992). *The Arthashastra* (L. N. Rangarajan, Trans.). Penguin Books. (Original work c. 4th century BCE).
16. Klostermaier, K. K. (2007). *A survey of Hinduism* (3rd ed.). SUNY Press.
17. Leopold, A. (2013). *A Sand County almanac and other writings on ecology and conservation* (C. Meine, Ed.). Library of America. (Original work published 1949).
18. Manusmriti (1886). In G. Bühler (Trans.), *The Laws of Manu*. Motilal Banarsidass.
19. Manusmriti. (1992). *The Laws of Manu* (G. Buhler, Trans.; P. Shastri, Ed.). Motilal Banarsidass.
20. Meadows, D. H., Meadows, D. L., Randers, J., & Behrens, W. (1972). *The limits to growth*. Universe Books.
21. Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (MEA). (2005). *Ecosystems and human well-being: Synthesis*. Island Press.
22. Mosse, D. (1997). The symbolic making of a common property resource: History, ecology and locality in a tank-irrigated landscape in South India. *Development and Change, 28*(3), 467–504.
23. Naess, A. (1973). The shallow and the deep, long-range ecology movement. *Inquiry, 16*(1–4), 95–100. https://doi.org/10.1080/00201747308601682
24. Radhakrishnan, S. (1953). *The principal Upanishads*. HarperCollins.
25. Radhakrishnan, S. (1999). *The principal Upanishads* (2nd ed.). HarperCollins.
26. Randhawa, M. S. (1983). *A history of agriculture in India* (Vols. 1–4). Indian Council of Agricultural Research.
27. Rao, K. L. S. (2005). *Environment in ancient India*. B.R. Publishing.
28. Rigveda. (1997). In S. Radhakrishnan (Ed.), *The Rigveda: A selection*. Indus Source. (Original work ca. 1500–1200 BCE).
29. Sharma, A. (2005). *Hinduism and environmental ethics: Law, literature, and philosophy*. Oxford University Press.
30. Sharma, B. D. (2012). *Environmental law in India*. Eastern Book Company.
31. Sharma, P. V. (2001). *History of medicine in India* (Vol. 1). Indian National Science Academy.
32. Shastri, J. L. (1992). *Manusmṛti (Manu Dharma-Śāstra): With the commentary of Medhātithi*. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass.
33. World Commission on Environment and Development (WCED). (1987). *Our common future*. Oxford University Press.